# MANUAL FOR THE PRESCHOOL BEHAVIOR QUESTIONNAIRE

Lenore Behar, Ph.D. Samuel Stringfield, Ph.D. A more complete description of the process used to develop the questionnaire, and extensive analysis of the data used to validate it, has been published in an article, "A Behavior Rating Scale for the Preschool Child," which appeared in the journal, *Developmental Psychology, vol, 10,* No. 5 (September 1974), pp. 601-610.

Communications regarding the Preschool Behavior Questionnaire should be directed to:

Lenore Behar, Ph.D. 1821 Woodburn Road Durham, NC 27705

Copyright © 1974 by Lenore Behar, Ph.D. Durham, NC Published in the United States of America

### Introduction to the PBQ

With so many three-year-old to six-year-old children now enrolled in nursery schools, day care centers, and kindergartens, there is an increasing opportunity to screen children at an early age to detect developing behavior problems. While many child psychologists and other students of early childhood behavior have attempted to take advantage of this situation, they have found a lack of standardized instruments that aid in differentiating normal from deviant behavior. Many checklists, rating scales, and other screening instruments are available for use with older children; however, those applicable to the preschool age range tend to be either standardized insufficiently or on a normal population only, or take too much time to allow for practical, widespread use.

The Preschool Behavior Questionnaire (PBQ) was developed as a screening instrument for easy use by mental health professionals as a first step in identifying preschoolers who show symptoms, or constellations of symptoms, that suggest the emergence of emotional problems. In considering criteria for the development of this scale, it seemed important that the instrument not only show validity in discriminating between normal and deviant populations, but also both inter-rater and test-retest reliability. To be applicable to all preschoolers, it seemed the scale should be standardized across a range of preschoolers, aged three to six, male and female, black and white. Most importantly, the scale itself should be brief so that a professional could conveniently use it.

The PBQ is a modification of items in the Children's Behavior Questionnaire, a checklist standardized by Michael Rutter in England in 1967 for use with elementary school-aged boys.

Additional questions on the PBQ came from a variety of sources, including teachers in normal preschools and in preschools for emotionally disturbed children.

The reliability and validity of the newly designed scale was determined on a stated population in order to ensure that the scale would be useful to professionals, and free of the problems of other scales mentioned above. Since content or face validity seemed too subjective, the authors attempted to identify the criterion-related validity of the PBQ; that is, how precisely the PBQ could discriminate between a normal and deviant sample.

### Sample Population

The normal sample of 496 children was chosen from five preschools in Durham, North Carolina and two in Portland, OR. Normal population was defined as children in any preschool that served the general public and that was not specifically intended for the care of autistic, emotionally disturbed, retarded, or otherwise special segments of the preschool population. Schools were selected from various areas of the two cities so that the children represented socioeconomic groups ranging from lower- to upper-middle-class. The sample, shown in Table 1, is roughly comparable to the general population of the two cities in terms of numbers of white and black as well as male and female children.



The deviant population was defined as those children who had been previously diagnosed as children with emotional disturbance and who were enrolled in a treatment program specifically for preschool children with emotional disturbance. Again, children with retardation or autism were excluded. The sample of children with emotional disturbance was drawn from 15 preschools throughout the country that are involved in early intervention for children with behavioral disturbance. A sample of 102 preschoolers, whose primary diagnosis was emotional disturbance, was used in this study.

Teachers in both groups were asked to fill out the 36-item PBQ rating sheet on each child in their classes.

### Results

Each item on the PBQ was scored by counting "Does not apply" as 0, "Sometimes applies" as 1, and "Frequently applies" as 2. The total for each subject was obtained by adding these scores. For the 496 children in the normal group, the resulting mean was 9.12, with a standard deviation of 7.67. For the 102 children in the deviant group the mean was 23.35, the standard deviation 7.30.

## Validity

TABLE 1
SUBJECTS IN THE PBQ VALIDITY STUDY

Age (years)		N	ormal (I	N = 496				Dev	riant (N	= 102)		E.C
	Male	(N = 2	261)	Fema	le (N =	223)	Mal	e (N =	71)	Fema	ale (N =	27)
	White	Black	Other	White	Black	Other	White	Black	Other	White	Black	Othe
Total	N=207	N=50	N=3	N=155	N=66	N=1	N=47	N=14	N=0	N=16	N=7	N=2
3 (N=100)	46	8	2	27	5	1	3	1	-	3	1	-
4 (N=158)	55	7	1	56	13	-	10	5	-	3	_	1
5 (N=178)	63	9	_	41	20	_	22	7	-	5	3	1
6 (N=65)	22	4		14	4	- 34	12	1	_	5	3	

<sup>\*</sup>The sample of 598 includes 122 children for whom information on age, race, and/or sex was not made available.

Each item and the total scale were analyzed using a chi square test to learn if teacher ratings of children significantly differentiated between normal and disturbed groups. Significance was defined as alpha level less than .01. Thirty-one of the 36 items differentiated significantly between the two groups. The overall scale differentiated beyond the .0001 level of significance between the children in normal preschools and children previously diagnosed as children with emotional disturbance, with the children with emotional disturbance scoring higher. It appears that the PBQ demonstrates sufficient criterion validity.

## **Factor Analysis**

To check on how many factors or independent dimensions are measured by the PBQ, a factor analysis was performed on the data, which yielded a three-factor solution. To illustrate what is measured by these factors, the items which show the highest loading for each factor are listed in Table II.

Factor I appears to measure a Hostile-Aggressive dimension. The items loading highest here indicate lack of consideration for others, irritability, and fighting with peers.

Factor II includes such reactions as "fearful," "unhappy," "cries easily," and "stares into space;" it seems to be an Anxious-Fearful dimension.

In addition, the PBQ contained a third significant factor, which included items related to poor attention span and restlessness. This factor appears to measure Hyperactive-Distractible behaviors.

### Revision of Scale

#### ITEM DELETION

After completing major analyses on the standardized sample, the authors concluded that the PBQ could be shortened without significantly affecting the validity of the instrument. For inclusion in the shortened version, each item had to differentiate significantly on the chi square test (which eliminated four questions), and rank in the highest 25 on the stepwise multiple regression or have a factor loading higher than .55 on one of the three factors previously discussed (which eliminated two more questions).

To test how much information was lost from the original 36 items by deleting the six mentioned above, correlation between total score on long and short forms was computed. The result was a correlation coefficient of .990. The shortened PBQ appears to lose very little information, while cutting the rating time by as much as one-sixth.

With the deletion of the six items, a new set of totals is appropriate. The mean for the normal population becomes 8.007, with a standard deviation of 7.72. The mean for the deviant group becomes 21.324, with a standard deviation of 6.80.



TABLE 2
PBQ ITEMS WITH THE HIGHEST LOADINGS ON THE THREE FACTORS

		FACTORS	
VARIABLES	I	II	III
Factor I: Highest Loadings			1.16
Inconsiderate of others	.78	.16	.16
Fights with other children	.77	03	.13
Destroys own or others' belongings	.70	.12	.21
Bullies other children	.71	.00	.05
Kicks, bites, hits other children	.68	.08	.20
Doesn't share toys	.65	.24	.21
Blames others	.64	03	.07
Factor II: Highest Loadings			
Tends to be fearful or afraid of new things or new situations	.06	.66	.14
Appears miserable, unhappy, tearful, or distressed	.19	.66	.06
Stares into space	.04	.57	.37
Cries easily	.24	.48	.14
Gives up easily	.16	.47	.40
Factor III: Highest Loadings			
Inattentive	.19	.24	.80
Has poor concentration or short attention span	.12	.26	.80
Restless. Runs about or jumps up and down. Doesn't keep still	36	.02	.69
Squirmy, fidgety child	.37	.09	.68

### EFFECTS OF AGE, RACE, SEX, AND GROUP

In order to determine if there were any significant effects of age, race, sex or group, an analysis of variance was computed using the shortened PBQ. Males scored significantly higher than females (mean difference, 2.15 in the normal sample), and blacks scored significantly higher than whites (mean difference, 1.80). Age as a variable was not significant.

## Replication and Reliability Study

A second study was designed to replicate previous results and to determine reliability of the PBQ and the three factors isolated in the initial research.

Briefly, the results of this study were:

- 1. All three factors were found valid and reliable.
- 2. All previous results were replicated.
- Teachers were found to be both more valid and more reliable raters of children than were aides.
- 4. Teachers who were in the classroom for at least six weeks, it was found, could more reliably use the PBQ. Thus, the rating scale was determined to be more reliable after the teachers got to know the children.



## Completing and Scoring the PBQ

Directions for completing the PBQ are on the accompanying questionnaires. Scoring instructions follow the scale items.

Briefly, the teacher should:

- 1. Fill in the necessary data (name, sex, age of child, etc.).
- 2. Answer each question on the scale as that question applies to that child.
- 3. Turn to the scoring instructions.

The scoring system for the PBQ is as follows:

- All items marked "Doesn't Apply" are scored "0"
- ♦ All items marked "Applies Sometimes" are scored "1"
- All items marked "Certainly Applies" are scored "2"
- For each behavior, fill in the appropriate score in the column. If a blank has to its right a series of dots followed by a second blank, then also fill in that second blank with the subject's score on that item.

### Example

If a teacher were filling out a PBQ on a child who seemed to worry a great deal, was alone frequently (though not a great deal\_more than most), and who never got angry at other children, she might answer questions 6, 7, and 8 as follows:

### Questions

- 6. Is worried, worries about many things
- 7. Tends to do things on his own, rather solitary
- 8. Irritable, quick to "fly off the handle"

 Add the four columns of figures under "For Scorer's Use Only" and transfer these four totals to the four appropriately marked columns on the back of the questionnaire.



## Interpreting Individual Preschoolers' PBQ Scores

Using the following table, the rater can readily determine the percentile rank of the child:

#### DISTRIBUTION OF SCORES ON THE PBQ

percentile rank	TOTAL behavior disturbed	Scale 1 hostile- aggressive	Scale 2	Scale 3 hyperactive- distractible	percentile rank
99	29	14	9	8	99
	26	11	8 7	7	
95	23	10	6	6	95
90	20 —— 17 ——	8 7—	5	5	90
	15	6	4	4	
80	13	4	3		80
0.5	11	3		3	
65	9	2	1		65
50		1		2	50
	4	0			
25		_			25
0	1				0

Interpretation of the PBQ for clinical purposes should be extremely conservative.

The PBQ is designed to be a short screening instrument. If it is used to "label" or "diagnose" a child, then it is abused.

If a child scores in the upper 10 percent on the total scale (a score of 17 or above) this could be interpreted to mean, "This child's behavior is out of the ordinary; further examination and diagnosis may be warranted." The three scale scores simply point to more specific areas in which the child may be having trouble. Again, if the child scores above the ninetieth percentile on one of the three scales, that points to a specific area that deserves attention.

It is important to combine the child's performance on the scale with the teacher's observations of the child's interaction with his or her peers and of his or her behavior in general over a period of several weeks. If the child appears to have trouble getting along, it is wise for the teacher to



share the observations and the results of the PBQ screening with the child's parents. The parents might want to seek professional help, especially if they too are having difficulties with the child. Or, the parents might ask the teacher to seek consultation from a local practitioner or agency specializing in problems of preschool children for advice on 1) the management of the child at school; 2) whether or not a referral for further study is indicated; 3) if so, how to advise the parents on the referral process.

Some parents may not perceive that their child is having difficulties, or may wish to deny or ignore the problem. In these instances, the teacher might keep a daily log on the child, repeat the PBQ after three months, and reopen discussion with the parents if the child's difficulties persist. It also is frequently helpful to many families for the teacher to offer to call in a consultant to provide additional information based on independent observations of the child.

### Uses of the PBQ

As mentioned above, the PBQ provides sufficient information to be used as a screening instrument by professionals. Another valuable application of this instrument is to use it as a pre- and post-measure of children to indicate areas of change or growth during a given period (at least three months).

## Summary

As the total score on the PBQ differentiated at the .0001 level of significance between normal and deviant groups, and as 53 percent of the total variance in the scale can be accounted for by this group difference, it appears that the PBQ is a valid and reliable instrument for use as a screening tool by mental health professionals who are reasonably familiar over a period of time with individual children. The factor analysis, which revealed three significant factors (Hostile-Aggressive, Anxious-Fearful, and Hyperactive-Distractible) that appear repeatedly in studies of the preschool population, combined with replication and reliability data, tends to reinforce this conclusion.



# THE PRESCHOOL BEHAVIOR QUESTIONNAIRE

Lenore Behar, Ph.D. Samuel Stringfield, Ph.D.

Copyright @ 1974 by Lenore Behar, Ph.D.

Ch	ild's Name	*********	Scho	ol Atten	ding				
Pa	rent's Name		Sex (	circle)	М	F			
Ad	dress	•							
	(Street)					Monti	h Day	Year	
***************************************	(City, State, Zip Code)	***********	Prese	ent Date	•			-	
Ra	ted by		Child	's Birtho	day	-			
	e of Rater		Age	of Child				************	-
Le	ngth of time rater has worked h child (months or weeks)								
shound ofte	ement are three columns, "Doesn't Apply ws the behavior described by the stateme er "Certainly Applies." If the child shows b n, place an "X" in the space under "Applie w the behavior, place an "X" in the space u Please put ONE "X" for EACH stateme	ent frequi ehavior d s Someti ander *Dd	ently or describer imes.* If esn't Ap	to a gri d by the , as far ply."	eat de	egree, p	lace an 'X a lesser	the degree	or less
		Apply	Applies Sometimes	Applies Applies		For Sco	rer's Use	Only	
1.	Restless. Runs about or jumps up and down. Doesn't keep still.		A0000000000	**********					********
2.	Squirmy fidgety child	***********							
3.	Destroys own or others' belongings	www.common							
4.	Fights with other children		4000000000	NAMES AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY					
5.	Not much liked by other children								
6									
u.	Is worried. Worries about many things	***********							
	Is worried. Worries about many things  Tends to do things on his own, rather solitary	Managaran	-	anninosana.					
7.	Tends to do things on his own, rather		**************			• •			
7.	Tends to do things on his own, rather solitary	***************************************				• •			

		Applies matimas	Certainly Applies	For Scorer's Use	Only
Has twitches, mannerisms, or tics of the face and body					
Bites nails or fingers					
2. Is disobedient					
Has poor concentration or short attention span	-	**********			
4. Tends to be fearful or afraid of new things or new situations					
5. Fussy or over-particular child					
16. Tells lies					
17. Has wet or soiled self this year		-			
18. Has stutter or stammer		***********			
9. Has other speech difficulty	***************************************			<u> </u>	
20. Bullies other children		2	2	<u></u>	
21. Inattentive					
22. Doesn't share toys		- W		*	
23. Cries easily	7 / 1/2				
24. Blames others					
25. Gives up easily	***************************************	Annanonana.	***************************************		
26. Inconsiderate of others			-		
27. Unusual sexual behaviors	***************************************		**********		
28. Kicks, bites, or hits other children	nannanna				
29. Stares into space					-
30. Do you consider this child to have behavior problems?	*		nananana		
TOTALS				Total 1	2 -

Child's	Name		

## The Preschool Behavior Questionnaire

Score Sheet

Lenore Behar, Ph.D. Samuel Stringfield, Ph.D.

The scoring system for the PBQ is as follows:

All items marked "Doesn't Apply" are scored "0"
All items marked "Applies Sometimes" are scored "1"
All items marked "Certainly Applies" are scored "2"

For each behavior, fill in the appropriate score in the column. If this blank has to its right a series of dots followed by a second blank, then also fill in that second blank with the child's score on that item. Add all of the numbers in each of the four columns and transfer those totals to the following table. If the exact score appears on the table, circle it. If not, enter the exact score in the appropriate place and circle it.

percentile rank	TOTAL behavior disturbed	Scale 1 hostile- aggressive	Scale 2 anxious	Scale 3 hyperactive- distractible	percentile rank
99	29	14	9	8	99
	26	11	8	7	
95	23	10	7	6	05
95	23	9	0	0	95
	20	8	5	5	
90	1/	6	4	4	90
	15	5			
80	13	4	_ 3		80
	11	3			
65		2		3	65
50	7	1		2	50
	6 4	0	0	1	
25			_		25
	1				
0	o				0